

Position Paper

Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM)

Significant technological advances and a comprehensive and well-balanced enabling policy framework will be necessary to ensure that the transformations the industry has already embarked upon to reduce its GHG emissions become fully effective, at a level that will be a game-changer for achieving the climate neutrality 2050 European goal.

In that journey, it is key that the European industrial competitiveness, both on the domestic market and in export markets, be safeguarded as long as climate protection measures are taken at different pace across the planet.

For many years now, such industry competitiveness and the risk of carbon leakage has been addressed by particular provisions of the EU ETS, through the granting of free allowances and the possible compensation by Member-States for the increase in electricity costs. Despite these measures, plastics industry has suffered from a worsening net trade balance in the last years (for main polymers).

To achieve its climate ambitions, EU Commission aims at reducing free allowances, strengthening the carbon price signal for EU actors but increasing at the same time the difference with countries without carbon pricing mechanisms. In addition, plastics industry has recently seen most of its productions excluded from the list of activities that could benefit from indirect compensations. It is therefore key that new mechanisms allow competitiveness of EU plastics industry be safeguarded and risk of carbon leakage minimized.

Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM)

In its Fit for 55 package published on 14 July 2021, EU Commission proposed a Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM) to pursue the overarching objective of addressing the risk of carbon leakage in order to fight climate change by reducing GHG emissions in the Union and globally. This mechanism requires EU importers of certain products to register and buy CBAM certificates, of which price will be based on the embedded direct emissions of the imported quantities and on the CO₂ EU pricing. It aims at ensuring that imported products are subject to a regulatory system that applies carbon costs equivalent to the ones that otherwise would have been borne under the EU ETS. For the products benefiting from CBAM, free allowances currently granted by ETS would be progressively phased out until complete deletion by 2036.

Initial short list of products to which CBAM would apply are those considered as representing the largest sources of GHG emissions in Europe and being at highest risk of carbon leakage: cement, iron & steel, aluminum, fertilizers (ammonia, urea, nitric acid, ammonium nitrate) and electricity.

Our views

Even if polymers are not in the currently proposed scope, they could be considered in a later stage; in addition, certain polymers using some products of the current list as raw materials, such as ammonia, can be impacted by the proposed mechanism in its initial scope.

While we welcome the EU Commission's willingness to address the issue of competitiveness of the EU industry and risk of carbon leakage, we think that the proposed mechanism does not fully meet the requirements of the plastics industry, for the following reasons:

- For the products in the scope of CBAM, the progressive phase-out of free allowances will lead to an increased cost of these products but also of all their downstream products, when produced in EU.
 - CBAM addresses the competitiveness of EU products on EU market (ie versus identical products imported in EU from outside-EU) but not on export markets (ie versus similar products produced outside EU and that will not bear additional costs when sold outside EU).
 - While CBAM enables to compensate the cost increase for products in its scope, competitive distortion will remain on downstream products that are as well impacted by a higher CO2 pricing. For these downstream products, imports in EU could be preferred to local production and EU production be less competitive on exports markets. Downstream value-adding stages could be motivated to relocate production abroad in order not to be displaced by foreign competitors

As far as plastics industry is concerned, ammonia and nitric acid are important raw materials for the production of some plastics, including polyamides, whose competitiveness could therefore be reduced on EU market as well as on export markets.

After the phase out, the CBAM sectors would then have to bear the full CO2 costs, which would severely limit the financial ability of companies to invest in low-carbon technologies.

- The CBAM proposal based on products, aims at mirroring the EU ETS based on installations and therefore requires complex conversions for affected products, significant data requirements, bureaucracy, and controls - in the EU and globally.
- The proposed verification system must effectively minimize circumvention risks that reduce the effectiveness of CBAM as a carbon leakage protection tool. However, only vague mechanisms are enshrined in the draft that would prevent circumvention of the regulations. This makes the instrument vulnerable to circumvention strategies. It is also questionable how, in practice, the relevant data for determining CO2 emissions can be collected without foreign producers (justifiably) fearing for the protection of trade secrets.

- Disadvantages for importers, processors and exporters burden the EU's trade with other parts of the world - with the possible consequence that competitors such as China or the USA gain advantages over suppliers from the EU.
- In addition, there is now a threat of a world of different CBAM systems, each with its own approach and bureaucracy, which should be avoided at all costs.

Recommendations

The CBAM approach proposed by EU Commission, closely connected to the ETS system, only partially creates a level playing field between European producers and importers of the whole plastic value-chain. It does not entirely fulfil the needs of the plastics industry, characterized by complex value-added networks, co-production and a high export share.

The CBAM current proposal should be reviewed to address the issue of shifting the carbon leakage risk to downstream value chains and the lower competitiveness of European industry on exports markets.

Only in this way can the transformation to climate-friendly products and production processes, which is also supported by industry, succeed without significant losses in the competitiveness of European industry and damaging trade conflicts.

Please contact:

Plastics Europe
Hervé Millet
Director Strategic Council - Climate and
Production
+ 33 (0) 644260612
herve.millet@plasticseurope.org

October 2021
